## Simulations of feedback effects in the cosmic gas

#### Umberto Maio

in collaboration with: M. Petkova, B. Ciardi, K. Dolag,

- L. Tornatore, S. Khochfar, J. Johnson, F. lannuzzi,
  - L. Moscardini, R. Salvaterra, N. Yoshida

Max Planck Institute (MPE) Garching b. München

## Outline

- 1 Introduction
  - Motivations
- 2 Method
  - Molecules and metals
  - Chemistry and cooling
- 3 Simulations
  - Results Z, SFR, IMF, LF
  - Results GRBs, RT, BHs

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

4 The End

#### Göttingen, 8 October 2012

Simulations of feedback effects in the cosmic gas

#### Motivations

## **Motivations**

Goal: Structure formation: cosmic gas, stars, galaxies and their effects:

- $\rightarrow$  What is the formation epoch of cosmic objects?
- $\rightarrow$  What is the role of molecules and metals?
- $\rightarrow$  How does structure growth proceed?
- → How relevant is pristine "popIII" star formation?
- $\rightarrow$  How fast is the transition to metal-enriched "popII" regime?
- $\rightarrow$  What are the effects of different IMFs on SFR?
- $\rightarrow$  What are the effects of the underlying matter distribution?
- $\rightarrow$  What are the effects on cosmic re-ionization, GRBs, BHs?...

Requirements: Study the properties of cosmic gas and

metal enrichment from stars, during cosmic evolution.

Techniques: N-body/SPH simulations (with Gadget).



- Motivations
- Cosmic structures originate from the growth of matter perturbations at early times (inflation), in an expanding, flat Universe, containing "dark" matter and "baryonic" matter.
- Baryonic structures form from in-fall and cooling of gas into DM potential well.
- Eventually, a "cloud" can form if radiative losses are sufficient to make the gas condense and fragment:

$$t_{cool} = rac{3}{2} rac{nkT}{\mathcal{L}(n,T)} \ll t_{\rm ff} = \sqrt{rac{3\pi}{32G
ho}}$$

■ Under a cosmological point of view, at early times, the cooling function is dominated by molecules ! After pollution from formed (baryonic) structures (→ chemical feedback) metals dominate.

Molecules and metals Chemistry and cooling

## Molecules and metals

For a complete picture: necessity to follow gravity and hydrodynamics joined to molecular evolution and metal production during cosmic time (e.g. Galli& Palla, 1998; Abel et al., 1997)

- molecules determine <u>first</u> structure formation
- metals determine subsequent structure formation
- stellar evolution determines <u>timescales</u> and yields

Following and implementing metal and molecule evolution in numerical codes (N-body/SPH Gadget) required

(Yoshida et al., 2003; Tornatore et al., 2007; Maio et al., 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010, 2011a,b,c)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



Gas cooling function  $\longrightarrow$ 

In primordial regimes, the main coolants are H, He and molecules ( $H_2$  and HD).

In metal enriched ones, metal fine-structure transitions from C, O, Fe, Si (dominant over molecules at low temperatures).



(Maio et. al, 2007)

A (B) > A (B) > A (B) >

Cooling leads the gas in-fall into DM potential wells.

Results – Z, SFR, IMF, LF Results – GRBs, RT, BHs

## Z<sub>crit</sub>: transition from popIII to popII-I star formation

We study the effects connected to the existence of a critical metallicity  $Z_{crit}$  (e.g. Bromm & Loeb, 2003; Schneider et al., 2003) and the transition from popIII SF ( $Z < Z_{crit}$ ) to popII-I SF ( $Z \ge Z_{crit}$ ).

In order to address such issues, we perform several numerical simulations of early structure formation adopting different values for  $Z_{crit}$  and exploring different scenarios.



#### Simulation set-up

(Maio et al., 2010, 2011b, Maio & lannuzzi, 2011; Maio, 2011; Maio & Khochfar, 2012)

- standard-ACDM cosmology (1,7,14,43,143Mpc side);
- molecular and metal chemistry;
- assume  $Z_{crit} = (10^{-6}, 10^{-5}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-3}) Z_{\odot}$
- assume different popIII IMFs (→ top-heavy/Salpeter)
- assume different matter distributions (→ G vs non-G)

Results – Z, SFR, IMF, LF Results – GRBs, RT, BHs

## Simulations of structure formation (example)

Example of structure formation

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

æ

Results – Z, SFR, IMF, LF Results – GRBs, RT, BHs

#### Metal enrichment in the Universe

Z (absolute) O (absolute)

Fe (absolute)

Total enrichment

O enrichment

Fe enrichment

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ●

Metal enrichment led by stellar evolution: SNII/PISN  $\longrightarrow$  O, SNIa  $\longrightarrow$  Fe

Results – Z, SFR, IMF, LF Results – GRBs, RT, BHs

## Results (1/11): effects for different Z<sub>crit</sub>



box: 1Mpc^3; popIII IMF: top-heavy with slope=-1.35, range=[100 $M_{\odot}$ ,500 $M_{\odot}$ ]

(Maio et al., 2010)

Umberto Maio Simulations of feedback effects in the cosmic gas

Results – Z, SFR, IMF, LF Results – GRBs, RT, BHs

## Results (2/11): polluting the surrounding medium

#### Phase diagrams with color contours for enriched gas

 $(Z_{crit} = 10^{-4} Z_{\odot}, \text{ box side} = 1 \text{ Mpc})$ 



Metals produced by stellar evolution pollute the surrounding, pristine gas with an *"inside-out"* mode. (Maio et al, 2011b)

Results – Z, SFR, IMF, LF Results – GRBs, RT, BHs

## Results (3/11): metallicity distribution

#### Metallicity distributions with color contours for enriched gas at z = 11



At  $z \sim 11$ , after  $\sim 10^8$  yr from the onset of star formation, most of the enriched mass has  $Z > Z_{crit}$ . (Maio et al, 2011b)

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

э

Results – Z, SFR, IMF, LF Results – GRBs, RT, BHs

## Results (4/11): changing the popIII IMF

PopIII range (Salpeter IMF - top-heavy IMF)

#### SN range (Salpeter IMF)



Mass ranges for popIII IMF and/or massive SN have significant impacts:

 $\label{eq:Larger} \mbox{Larger masses} \rightarrow \mbox{Shorter stellar lifetimes} \rightarrow \mbox{Earlier enrichment} \rightarrow \mbox{Shorter "popIII epoch"}$ 

(Maio et al., 2010)

Results – Z, SFR, IMF, LF Results – GRBs, RT, BHs

## Results (5/11): Luminosity functions

For each galaxy:  $L_{\lambda} = L_{\lambda}^{\text{II}} + L_{\lambda}^{\text{III}}$ in L5, L10, L30

PopII-I SEDs from Starbust99, by Vazquez & Leitherer (2005). PopIII SEDs from Schaerer (2002). No dust assumed Pop III objects have little relevance

#### Observational data points from:

Bouwens et al., 2007 (circles); z=6 McLure et al., 2010 (triangles); z=7-8 Bouwens et al., 2011 (circles); z=7-8 Oesch et al., 2012 (squares); z=8

Fit: Su et al., 2012 (solid line); z=6.



Results – Z, SFR, IMF, LF Results – GRBs, RT, BHs

# Results (6/11): primordial matter distributions and Non-Gaussianities

Basic assumption: Gaussian perturbations  $\rightarrow$  evidences for non-Gaussianities (CMB). Primordial non-Gaussianities are introduced via (Salopek & Bond, 1990

$$\Phi = \Phi_L + \frac{\textbf{f}_{NL} \left( \Phi_L^2 - < \Phi_L^2 > \right)$$

 $\Phi$  is the Bardeen potential (Newton potential at sub-Hubble scales),  $\Phi_L$  is the *linear* (Gaussian) part, and  $f_{NL}$  the non-Gaussian parameter.



credit: WMAP

Maio & Iannuzzi (2011); Maio (2011); Maio et al. (2012) 10 9 9

Results – Z, SFR, IMF, LF Results – GRBs, RT, BHs

#### Results (7/11): Non-G and the cosmic web

 $f_{\rm NL}=0$ 



f<sub>NL</sub>=1000

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Results – Z, SFR, IMF, LF Results – GRBs, RT, BHs

#### Results (8/11): Implications for LGRBs



$$R_{GRB} = \frac{\gamma_b \zeta_{BH} f_{GRB}}{4\pi} \int_z \dot{\rho}_\star \frac{dz'}{(1+z')} \frac{dV}{dz'} \int_{L_{th}(z')} \Psi(L') dL'$$

 $\begin{array}{l} R_{GRB}: \text{gamma-ray burst rate, } \gamma_b: \text{beaming factor, } \zeta_{BH}: \text{fraction} \\ \text{of expected BH (IMF), } f_{GRB}: \text{fraction of expected GRB from} \\ \text{collapse onto a BH (swift), } \dot{\rho_{\star}}: \text{star formation rate density} \\ (\text{simulation), } \Psi(L): \text{Schechter luminosity fct. (assumption), } L_{th}: \\ \text{instrumental sensitivity (Swift)} \\ \textbf{PopIII IMF: top-heavy over [100, 500]M_{\odot}} \\ \textbf{PopIII IMF: Salpeter over [0.1, 100]M_{\odot}} \end{array}$ 

Detectable fraction (by BAT/Swift) of popIII GRBs:  $\sim 10\%$  at z>6  $\gtrsim 40\%$  at z>10 of the whole population

#### GRB-hosts:

the highest probability of finding popIII GRBs is in hosts with  $M_{\star} < 10^7 \, M_{\odot}$  and  $Z \gtrsim Z_{crit}$  (efficient pollution)

See Campisi, Maio, Salvaterra, Ciardi (2011) and Salvaterra, Maio, Ciardi, Campisi (2013)



Umberto Maio

Results – Z, SFR, IMF, LF Results – GRBs, RT, BHs

#### Results (9/11): GRBs as probe of non-G



See Maio, Salvaterra, Moscardini, Ciardi (2012)

→ E → < E →</p>

< 47 ▶

Results – Z, SFR, IMF, LF Results – GRBs, RT, BHs

## Results (10/11): radiative feedback on cosmic gas

#### RT from ionizing sources:

(Petkova & Springel, 2009, 2011; Petkova & Maio, 2012)

- stars are sources of photons
- Planck spectrum  $s_{\gamma}(\nu)$
- multi-frequency method sampling the spectrum with ~ 150 frequency bins
- molecules are self- shielded

from LW (Draine & Bertoldi, 1996)

- NB: RT is coupled with hydro and chemistry self-consistently, and NOT run on postprocessing
- see also: Abel & Gnedin (2001); Ricotti et al. (2001); Ahn & Shapiro (2007); Whalen & Norman (2009); etc.



Umberto Maio

Simulations of feedback effects in the cosmic gas

Results – Z, SFR, IMF, LF Results – GRBs, RT, BHs

Results (11/11): effects on re-ionization

No RT

With RT

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

(Preliminary results!!!)

#### Summary...

- We have presented results from N-Body, hydrodynamical, chemistry and radiative simulations.
- We have studied the growth of cosmic structures, and their implications for Z, SFR, IMF, LF, non-G, GRBs, RT, BHs, etc..

Conclusions...

- Early ( $z \sim 15 20$ ) metal enrichment from the first stars is very strong and the transition from pristine to standard popII regime is very rapid ( $\sim 10^7 10^8$  yr), with a residual popIII contribution to the total SFR at  $z \sim 10$  of only  $\sim 10^{-3} 10^{-1}$ .
- Radiation from early stars can easily dissociate molecules (mostly where not shielded), heat surrounding gas, and inhibit further SF.
- Feedback effects can affect metal pollution in primordial objects (<u>chemical feedback</u>), and impact significantly on the thermodynamical state of the IGM (<u>radiative feedback</u>).



# Thank you...

#### Umberto Maio

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

umaio@mpe.mpg.de



르

Umberto Maio Simulations of feedback effects in the cosmic gas

Umberto Maio Simulations of feedback effects in the cosmic gas

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ④ < ⊙

#### Extra: cooling functions...



Umberto Maio Simulations of feedback effects in the cosmic gas

## Resolving the gas in-fall: evolution in the $\rho$ – T space



Umberto Maio Simulation

#### Extra: star formation ratio (box side = 1 Mpc)...



æ

#### Extra: clumping factors (box side = 1 Mpc)



Image: A matrix

< ∃→

## Extra: Mass functions (larger simulations)



Umberto Maio Simulations of feedback effects in the cosmic gas

## Extra: SFR (larger simulations)



Umberto Maio Simulations of feedback effects in the cosmic gas

ъ

æ

## Extra: Metallicity evolution (larger simulations)



Umberto Maio Simulations of feedback effects in the cosmic gas

#### Results: metallicity evolution

Dotted lines: maximum metallicity.

Dot-dashed lines: average metallicity over the enriched particles.

Solid lines: average metallicity over the whole box.

Dashed lines: average individual metallicities over the whole box.





・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

(e.g., Maio et al, 2010)

Introduction Method Simulations The End	
$ m H$ + $ m e^-  ightarrow  m H^+$ + 2 $ m e^-$	$H_2$ + $\gamma \rightarrow H_2^+$ + $e^-$
${ m H^+}$ + ${ m e^-}$ $ ightarrow$ H + $\gamma$	$H_2^+$ + $\gamma  ightarrow 2 ar{H^+}$ + $e^-$
$H$ + $\gamma  ightarrow H^+$ + $e^-$	${\sf H}_2^-$ + $\gamma  ightarrow$ 2H
${ m H^-}$ + ${ m e^-}$ $ ightarrow$ H + 2 ${ m e^-}$	$H^+_2$ + $\gamma  o H$ + $H^+$
$H^-$ + $\gamma  ightarrow H$ + $e^-$	$\bar{H^-}$ + $H^+  ightarrow 2H$
He + e $^ \rightarrow$ He $^+$ + 2e $^-$	$H^- + H^+ \rightarrow H_2^+ + e^-$
${ m He^+}$ + e $^  ightarrow$ He + $\gamma$	$H_2^+$ + $e^-  ightarrow 2H$
$\mathrm{He^{+}}$ + $\mathrm{e^{-}}$ $ ightarrow$ $\mathrm{He^{++}}$ + $\mathrm{2e^{-}}$	$H_2^+$ + $H^- \rightarrow H$ + $H_2$
${ m He^{++}}$ + ${ m e^-}$ $ ightarrow$ ${ m He^+}$ + $\gamma$	$D$ + $\gamma  ightarrow D^+$ + $e^-$
${ m He^+}$ + $\gamma$ $ ightarrow$ ${ m He^{++}}$ + ${ m e^-}$	$D^+$ + $e^-  ightarrow D$ + $\gamma$
He + $\gamma  ightarrow$ He $^+$ + e $^-$	$D+H_2\toHD+H$
$H + e^- \rightarrow H^- + \gamma$	$D^+ + H_2 \rightarrow HD + H^+$
$H^- + H \rightarrow H_2 + e^-$	$HD + H \to D + H_2$
${\sf H} + {\sf H}^+  ightarrow {\sf H}_2^+ + \gamma$	$HD + H^+ \to D^+ + H_2$
$H_2^+ + H \rightarrow H_2 + H^+$	$H^+ + D \rightarrow H + D^+$
$H_2 + H \rightarrow 3H$	$H + D^+ \rightarrow H^+ + D$
$H_2 + H^+ \rightarrow H_2^+ + H$	He + H^+ $ ightarrow$ HeH <sup>+</sup> + $\gamma$
$H_2 \textbf{ + } e^- \rightarrow 2H \textbf{ + } e^-$	${ m HeH^+}$ + H $ ightarrow$ He + H $_2^+$
$H^-$ + $H \rightarrow 2H$ + $e^-$	HeH <sup>+</sup> □+ γ <sup>/</sup> ⊒→ Hē + H <sup>i∓</sup> ≋    ′

Umberto Maio

Simulations of feedback effects in the cosmic gas



#### Numerical RT – A Multi-Frequency Moment Method

Petkova & Springel (2009,2011), Petkova & Maio (2012)

The RT equation for the photon number density per frequency

$$\frac{\partial n_{\gamma}(\nu)}{\partial t} = c \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa(\nu)} \frac{\partial n_{\gamma}(\nu) h^{ij}}{\partial x_{i}} \right) - c \kappa(\nu) n_{\gamma}(\nu) + s_{\gamma}(\nu),$$
  
where  
$$n_{\gamma}(\nu) = \frac{1}{c} \frac{4\pi I(\nu)}{h_{p}\nu}.$$

- Closure relation Eddington tensor h<sup>ij</sup> that gives effective radiation direction
- Stars are the sources of ionizing photons
- Source function s<sub>γ</sub>(ν) stellar luminosity has a black-body spectrum